January 4, 2013

Mr. Jason Gunter Remedial Project Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 - Superfund Branch 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

Re: **National Mine Tailings Site Progress Report** 

Dear Mr. Gunter:

As required by Article VI, Section 51 of the Unilateral Administrative Order (Docket No.CERCLA-07-2006-0231) for the referenced project and on behalf of The Doe Run Company and NL Industries, Inc., the progress report for the period October 1, 2012 through October 31, 2012 is enclosed. If you have any questions or comments, please call me at 573-638-5020 or Mark Nations at 573-518-0600."

Sincerely,

Ty L. Morris, P.E., R.G.

Vice President

TLM/jms Enclosure

c: Mark Nations - TDRC

Matt Wohl - TDRC (electronic only)

Kevin Lombardozzi - NL Industries, Inc.

John Kennedy – City of Park Hills

Norm Lucas - Park Hills - Leadington Chamber of Commerce

Kathy Rangen - MDNR

Tim Skoglund - Barr Engineering

OTCR

Superfund

DUOD

#### National Mine Tailings Site

Park Hills, Missouri

#### Removal Action - Monthly Progress Report

Period: October 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012

#### 1. Actions Performed and Problems Encountered This Period:

- a. Work at the site continued on the West Area. This work focused on the task of rocking the area that had been surveyed. This included placing a 6-inch layer of crushed rock filter on the graded surface and a 12-inch layer of slope riprap on top of the crushed rock filter. As of the end of the period, work on this task had been completed.
- b. Work at the site began on the task of repairing Commerce Drive. This work focused on the task of removing the asphalt so that the road could be repaved by the City of Park Hills. As of the end of the period, the existing asphalt had been removed. As it was not possible to remove this material without removing the chat and tailings below the asphalt, this material was placed in the disposal area located on the southwest side of the West Area. It is anticipated that the City of Park Hills will proceed with paving activities in the near future.
- c. Work at the site also continued on the Mine Shaft Area. This work focused on the investigation of the area to determine what work needs to be completed. As of the end of the period, it was determined that due to the lack of observable mine waste on the site and the extensive nature of vegetative cover no additional removal activities were needed. However, the inspection did note that the concrete structure believed to be a mine shaft was in need of some minor repairs. These findings were discussed with the EPA project coordinator during his visit to the site on October 10, 2012. It was agreed to proceed as described above.
- d. Work at the site continued on the task of meeting with the landowners who may be affected by the removal action activities. This included meeting with landowners who signed an access agreement prior to April 1, 2008, which needed to be amended, as well as landowners who have not signed agreements. As of the end of the period, the following had been accomplished:

### Landowners that own property within the site boundary

Total number of landowners = 22

Landowners who signed an access agreement prior to 04/01/08 = 18

Landowners who signed an access agreement after 04/01/08 = 1

Landowners who are reviewing the access agreement = 3

Landowners who have refused to sign the access agreement = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the access agreement = 0

Total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter = 18

Landowners who have signed the amendment letter = 16

Landowners who are reviewing the amendment letter = 1

Landowners who refused to sign the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the amendment letter = 1

(Changes in the total number of landowners and the total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter are as a result of sales that occurred since the meetings with the landowners began.)

#### Landowners that own property immediately adjacent to the site boundary

Total number of landowners = 27

Landowners who signed an access agreement prior to 04/01/08 = 11

Landowners who signed an access agreement after 04/01/08 = 6

Landowners who are reviewing the access agreement = 4

Landowners who have refused to sign the access agreement = 3

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the access agreement = 3

Total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter = 11

Landowners who have signed the amendment letter = 11

Landowners who are reviewing the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who refused to sign the amendment letter = 0 Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the amendment letter = 0

(It is not anticipated that it will be a challenge to work around the property owned by the three landowners that refused to sign the access agreement based on location of the property in relationship to the work that needs to be completed. Changes in the total number of landowners and the total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter are as a result of sales that occurred since the meetings with the landowners began.)

#### 2. Analytical Data and Results Received This Period:

- a. During this period, water samples were collected at the sampling locations identified in Appendix C of the Removal Action Work Plan where water was present. Copies of the analytical results from the last sampling event are included with this progress report.
- b. During this period, the Ambient Air Monitoring Report for July 2012 was received. Any issues identified in this report are discussed below. A copy of this document has been sent to your attention.

The July 2012 Ambient Air Monitoring Report noted the following:

- The action levels for lead and dust were not exceeded.
- No samples were taken with the National #3 (Water Plant) TSP monitor on 07/02/12 due to mechanical failure. Upon discovery, the issue was corrected.
- No samples were taken with the TSP monitors on 07/04/12 due to the holiday.

### 3. Developments Anticipated and Work Scheduled for Next Period:

- a. Complete work in the Mine Shaft Area.
- b. Finish the work on Commerce Drive.
- c. Complete monthly water sampling activities as described in the Removal Action Work Plan.
- d. Complete air monitoring activities as described in the Removal Action Work Plan.
- e. Begin demobilization activities.

#### 4. Changes in Personnel:

- a. None.
- 5. Issues or Problems Arising This Period:
  - a. None.
- 6. Resolution of Issues or Problems Arising This Period:
  - a. None.

**End of Monthly Progress Report** 

AP ACCREO



November 02, 2012

Allison Olds
Barr Engineering Company
1001 Diamond Ridge
Suite 1100
Jefferson City, MO 65109

TEL: (573) 638-5007 FAX: (573) 638-5001

**RE:** National MTS - 25/86-0003 **WorkOrder:** 12100828

Dear Allison Olds:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 10/17/2012 10:00:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Austin

Project Manager

(618)344-1004 ex 16

MAustin@teklabinc.com



## **Report Contents**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003 Report Date: 02-Nov-12

#### This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Laboratory Results	5
Sample Summary	7
Dates Report	8
Quality Control Results	9
Receiving Check List	15
Chain of Custody	Appended



#### **Definitions**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003 Report Date: 02-Nov-12

#### Abbr Definition

- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
  - MB Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix type containing the analyte.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
  - PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
  - RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
  - RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
  - SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
  - Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TNTC Too numerous to count ( > 200 CFU )

#### **Qualifiers**

- # Unknown hydrocarbon
- E Value above quantitation range
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
- H Holding times exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
  - S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits



### Case Narrative

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Report Date: 02-Nov-12

Cooler Receipt Temp: 1.0 °C

This report was revised on 11/02/2012 per Terri Olson's request. The reason for the revision is add the Method Blank and LCS to the QC report for Zinc. Please replace report dated 10/22/2012 with this report. MLA 11/02/12

#### **Locations and Accreditations**

	Collinsville			Springfield			Kansas City
Address	5445 Horseshoe Lake Road	l	Address	3920 Pintail Dr	٠	Address	8421 Nieman Road
	Collinsville, IL 62234-7425	i		Springfield, IL 627	11-9415		Lenexa, KS 66214
Phone	(618) 344-1004		Phone	(217) 698-1004		Phone	(913) 541-1998
Fax	(618) 344-1005		Fax	(217) 698-1005		Fax	(913) 541-1998
Email	jhriley@teklabinc.com		Email	kmcclain@teklabin	c.com	Email	dthompson@teklabinc.com
State		Dept		Cert #	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab
Illinois	3	IEPA	_	100226	NELAP	1/31/2013	Collinsville
Kansas	3	KDHE		E-10374	NELAP	1/31/2013	Collinsville
Louisia	ana	LDEQ		166493	NELAP	6/30/2013	Collinsville
Louisia	ana	LDEQ		166578	NELAP	6/30/2013	Springfield
Texas		TCEQ		T104704515-12-1	NELAP	7/31/2013	Collinsville
Arkans	sas	ADEQ		88-0966		3/14/2013	Collinsville
Illinois	<b>;</b>	IDPH		17584		4/30/2013	Collinsville
Kentuc	ky	UST		0073		5/26/2013	Collinsville
Missou	ıri	MDNR		00930		4/13/2013	Collinsville
Oklaho	oma	ODEQ		9978		8/31/2013	Collinsville



# **Laboratory Results**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12110723

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Report Date: 28-Nov-12

Lab ID: 12110723-001

Client Sample ID: Nat-East

Matrix: AQUEOUS Collection Date: 11/14/2012 10:40

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF _	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA 600 375.2 REV 2.0 1993	(TOTAL)			,		-		· ;
Sulfate	NELAP	200	S	225	mg/L	20	11/28/2012 5:01	R170923
MS and/or MSD did not recover with	ithin control limits due to r	natrix interfere	ence.					
STANDARD METHOD 4500-H	I B, LABORATORY AI	NALYZED						
Lab pH	NELAP	1.00		8.03		1	11/16/2012 8:18	R170567
<b>STANDARD METHODS 2340</b>	C	. 44	•			•		
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )	NELAP	5		520	mg/L	1	11/16/2012 14:07	R170603
<b>STANDARD METHODS 2540</b>	C (TOTAL)				1.			
Total Dissolved Solids	NELAP	20		630	mg/L	1	11/19/2012 18:37	R170714
STANDARD METHODS 2540	D							
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	1	11/15/2012 17:54	R170561
STANDARD METHODS 2540	F	1. 1.						
Solids, Settleable	NELAP	0.1		< 0.1	ml/L	1	11/15/2012 17:00	R170564
STANDARD METHODS 5310	C, ORGANIC CARBO	N .						
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	NELAP	1.0		< 1.0	mg/L	1	11/16/2012 12:40	R170625
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, ME	TALS BY ICP (DISSO	LVED)						
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	µg/L	1	11/19/2012 19:14	83478
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		147	μg/L	1	11/19/2012 19:14	83478
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, ME	TALS BY ICP (TOTAL	_)		:	<del> </del>			
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 16:29	83449
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		153	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 16:29	83449
STANDARD METHODS 3030	E, 3113 B, METALS E	BY GFAA			<del></del>	1	<u> </u>	
Lead	NELAP	2.00	X	5.64	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 13:50	83431
STANDARD METHODS 3030	B. 3113 B. METALS E	Y GFAA (D	ISSOLVE	D)			1.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Lead	NELAP	2.00	хтлэшгэ <b>Х</b>	5.84	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 8:55	83435



# **Laboratory Results**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12110723

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Report Date: 28-Nov-12

Lab ID: 12110723-002

Client Sample ID: Nat-NW

Matrix: AQUEOUS Collection Date: 11/14/2012 11:10

7100000								
Analyses	Certification	RL (	Qual _l	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA 600 375.2 REV 2.0 1993	(TOTAL)				٠.			
Sulfate	NELAP	20		74	mg/L	2	11/20/2012 21:56	R170781
STANDARD METHOD 4500-I	H B, LABORATORY AN	NALYZED						_
Lab pH	NELAP	1.00		8.18		1	11/16/2012 8:19	R170567
STANDARD METHODS 2340	C							
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )	NELAP	5		230	mg/L	1	11/16/2012 14:07	R170603
STANDARD METHODS 2540	C (TOTAL)							
Total Dissolved Solids	NELAP	20		242	mg/L	1	11/19/2012 18:37	R170714
STANDARD METHODS 2540	D							
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		< 6	mg/L	11	11/15/2012 17:54	R170561
STANDARD METHODS 2540	F						·	
Solids, Settleable	NELAP	0.1	-	< 0.1	ml/L	1	11/15/2012 17:00	R170564
STANDARD METHODS 5310	C, ORGANIC CARBO	N						
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	NELAP	1.0		1.0	mg/L	1	11/16/2012 13:06	R170625
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, MI	ETALS BY ICP (DISSO	LVED)		·				
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	μg/L	1	11/19/2012 19:20	83478
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		< 10.0	μg/L	1	11/19/2012 19:20	83478
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, MI	ETALS BY ICP (TOTAL	-)						
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 16:40	83449
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		< 10.0	µg/L	1	11/16/2012 16:40	83449
STANDARD METHODS 3030	D E, 3113 B, METALS E	BY GFAA						
Lead	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 14:00	83431
STANDARD METHODS 3030	B, 3113 B, METALS B	Y GFAA (DIS	SOLVED)	-	-			
Lead	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	μg/L	1	11/16/2012 9:06	83435



# Sample Summary

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Work Order: 12100828

Lab Sample 1D	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Fractions	Collection Date
12100828-001	Nat-East	Aqueous	5	10/16/2012 12:05
12100828-002	Nat-NW	Aqueous	5	10/16/2012 11:40



# **Dates Report**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Work Order: 12100828

ample ID	Client Sample ID	Collection Date	Received Date		
	Test Name			Prep Date/Time	Analysis Date/Time
2100828-001A	Nat-East	10/16/2012 12:05	10/17/2012 10:00	<del></del>	
	Standard Methods 2540 F				10/17/2012 13:47
100828-001B	Nat-East	10/16/2012 12:05	10/17/2012 10:00		
	EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total)				10/18/2012 1:52
	Standard Method 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed				10/17/2012 13:56
	Standard Methods 2340 C				10/17/2012 15:20
	Standard Methods 2540 C (Total)				10/18/2012 18:07
	Standard Methods 2540 D				10/17/2012 18:21
100828-001C	Nat-East	10/16/2012 12:05	10/17/2012 10:00		
	EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Total)			10/17/2012 13:53	10/18/2012 20:41
	Standard Methods 3030 E, 3113 B, Metals by GFAA			10/17/2012 14:50	10/18/2012 10:49
100828-001D	Nat-East	10/16/2012 12:05	10/17/2012 10:00		<del>- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,-</del>
	EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Dissolved)			10/17/2012 16:36	10/18/2012 11:49
	Standard Methods 3030 B, 3113 B, Metals by GFAA (	Dissolved)		10/17/2012 14:09	10/18/2012 13:14
100828-001E	Nat-East	10/16/2012 12:05	10/17/2012 10:00		<del></del>
	Standard Methods 5310 C, Organic Carbon				10/18/2012 12:19
100828-002A	Nat-NW	10/16/2012 11:40	10/17/2012 10:00		<del></del>
	Standard Methods 2540 F				10/17/2012 13:47
100828-002B	Nat-NW	10/16/2012 11:40	10/17/2012 10:00		
	EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total)				10/19/2012 9:41
	Standard Method 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed				10/17/2012 13:58
	Standard Methods 2340 C				10/17/2012 15:20
	Standard Methods 2540 C (Total)				10/18/2012 18:08
	Standard Methods 2540 D				10/17/2012 18:21
100828-002C	Nat-NW	10/16/2012 11:40	10/17/2012 10:00		
	EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Total)			10/17/2012 13:53	10/18/2012 21:10
	Standard Methods 3030 E, 3113 B, Metals by GFAA			10/17/2012 14:50	10/18/2012 10:53
100828-002D	Nat-NW	10/16/2012 11:40	10/17/2012 10:00		
	EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Dissolved)			10/17/2012 16:36	10/18/2012 11:53
	Standard Methods 3030 B, 3113 B, Metals by GFAA (I	Dissolved)		10/17/2012 14:09	10/18/2012 13:17
100828-002E	Nat-NW	10/16/2012 11:40	10/17/2012 10:00		
	Standard Methods 5310 C, Organic Carbon				10/18/2012 12:25



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

EPA 600 375.2 REV	/ 2.0 1993 (	TOTAL)	······				· <del></del>			- <del></del>	
Batch R169463 SampID: MBLK	SampType:			Units mg/L		-					Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		< 10						10/17/2012
Batch R169463 SampID: LCS	SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		22	20	0	109.2	90	110	10/17/2012
Batch R169463 SampID: 12100828-0	SampType: 001BMS	MS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			100		326	100	218.8	107.0	90	110	10/18/2012
SampID: 12100828-0	SampType: 001BMSD	MSD		Units mg/L						Limit 10	Date
Analyses			RL ·	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val			Val %RPD	Analyzed
Sulfate			100		321	100	218.8	102.7	325.8	1.35	10/18/2012
Batch R169508 BampID: MBLK	SampType:	MBLK		Units mg/L	<del></del>						Date
Analyses			RL_	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		< 10	-					10/18/2012
3atch R169508 SampID: LCS	SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate	<u> </u>		10		21	20	0	103.0	90	110	10/18/2012
3atch R169547 SampID: MBLK	SampType:	MBLK		Units mg/L					<del></del>		Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		< 10						10/19/2012
Satch R169547 SampID: LCS	SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val		Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		20	20	0	102.1	90	110	10/19/2012



Total Dissolved Solids

**Total Dissolved Solids** 

**Total Dissolved Solids** 

20

20

20

### **Quality Control Results**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 12100828 Client: Barr Engineering Company Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003 Report Date: 02-Nov-12 STANDARD METHOD 4500-H B, LABORATORY ANALYZED SampType: LCS Units Batch R169403 SampID: LCS Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit Analyses RL Oual 1.00 6.98 7.00 0 99.7 100.8 10/17/2012 Lab pH 99.1 Units Batch R169403 SampType: DUP RPD Limit 10 SampID: 12100828-001BDUP Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC RPD Ref Val %RPD Analyses RLQual 1.00 10/17/2012 Lab pH 7.98 7.980 0.00 Batch R169403 SampType: DUP Units RPD Limit 10 SampID: 12100828-002BDUP Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC RPD Ref Val %RPD Analyses Qual RL1.00 8.410 Lab pH 8.41 0.00 10/17/2012 **3TANDARD METHODS 2340 C** SampType: MBLK Units mg/L Batch R169407 Samp1D: MB-R169407 Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit Analyses Qual Hardness, as (CaCO3) < 5 10/17/2012 Batch R169407 SampType: LCS Units mg/L SampID: LCS-R169407 Date Analyzed SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit Result Spike Analyses Qual Hardness, as (CaCO3) 980 1000 10/17/2012 98.0 90 110 Batch R169407 SampType: MS Units mg/L SampID: 12100828-002BMS Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit RLQual Analyses Hardness, as (CaCO3) 400 220.0 85 10/17/2012 600 95.0 115 Units mg/L RPD Limit 10 3atch R169407 SampType: MSD lampID: 12100828-002BMSD Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC RPD Ref Val %RPD Analyses Qual Hardness, as (CaCO3) 600 400 220.0 600.0 0.00 10/17/2012 95.0 TANDARD METHODS 2540 C (TOTAL) atch R169529 SampType: MBLK Units mg/L ampID: MBLK Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit Analyses Qual

< 20

< 20

< 20

10/18/2012

10/18/2012

10/18/2012



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Batch R169529	SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L				_			
SampID: LCS			D.I.	-	<b>D</b> 1:	0.11	SPK Ref Val	%PEC	Low Limit	High Limit	Date Analyzed
Analyses	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		RL	Qual	Result						
Total Dissolved Soli	ias		20		1030	1000	0	102.8	90	110	10/18/2012
Batch R169529	SampType:	LCSQ	C	Units mg/L							
3ampID: LCSQC											Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Soli	ds		20		1010	1000	0	100.8	90	110	10/18/2012
Total Dissolved Soli	ids		20		964	1000	0	96.4	90	110	10/18/2012
Batch R169529 SampID: 12100828-0	SampType:	MS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Soli	ds		20		1180	500	632.0	109.6	85	115	10/18/2012
Batch R169529	SampType:	MSD		Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 15	
SampID: 12100828-0	01BMSD										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Soli	ds		20		1180	500	632.0	109.2	1180	0.17	10/18/2012
STANDARD METHO	DDS 2540 D	)		<del></del>							<del></del>
	SampType:		· · · · · ·	Units mg/L	<del></del>				<del> </del>		
SampiD: MBLK											Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Suspended So	olids		6		< 6	<u>DP</u>			<del></del>		10/17/2012
Batch R169410	SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L							
3amplD: LCS											Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Suspended So	olids		6		97	100	0	97.0	85	115	10/17/2012
Total Suspended So	olids		6		98	100	0	98.0	85	115	10/17/2012
Total Suspended So	olids		6		98	100	0	98.0	85	115	10/17/2012
	SampType:	DUP		Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 15	
SamplD: 12100828-0	02B DUP										Date
Analyses			RL_	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Total Suspended So	olids		6		< 6				0	0.00	10/17/2012
STANDARD METHO	DS 5310 C	, ORG	ANIC CA	RBON							
	SampType:	MBLK		Units mg/L							
SampID: MBLK											Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbo	- /TOCL		1.0		< 1.0						10/18/2012



Cadmium

Zinc

2.00

10.0

Client: Barr Engineering Company

### **Quality Control Results**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003 Report Date: 02-Nov-12 STANDARD METHODS 5310 C, ORGANIC CARBON Batch R169504 Units ma/L SampType: LCS SampID: LCS Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC RL Oual Low Limit High Limit Analyses Total Organic Carbon (TOC) 10/18/2012 10.0 **59.9** 59.7 0 100.4 110 90 Batch R169504 Units mg/L SampType: MS 3ampID: 12100828-002EMS Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val Low Limit High Limit Analyses RL Qual Total Organic Carbon (TOC) 1.0 5.0 0.6800 91.8 85 115 10/18/2012 Units mg/L RPD Limit 10 Batch R169504 SampType: SampID: 12100828-002EMSD Date Analyzed SPK Ref Val %REC RPD Ref Val %RPD Result Spike Analyses RLQual 1.0 95.0 Total Organic Carbon (TOC) 5.4 5.0 0.6800 5.270 2.99 10/18/2012 EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, METALS BY ICP (DISSOLVED) SampType: MBLK Units µg/L Batch 82578 SampID: MB-82578 Date Analyzed SPK Ref Val %REC Result Spike Low Limit High Limit Analyses RL Qual Cadmium 2.00 < 2.00 2.00 0 0 -100 100 10/18/2012 Zinc 10.0 < 10.0 10.0 0 0 -100 100 10/18/2012 3atch 82578 SampType: LCS Units µg/L lampID: LCS-82578 Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit Analyses RL Qual Cadmium 2.00 46.8 50.0 0 93.6 85 115 10/18/2012 0 Zinc 10.0 499 500 99.9 85 115 10/18/2012 SampType: MS atch 82578 Units µg/L amplD: 12100828-002DMS Date Analyzed Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit RL Qual Analyses Cadmium 2.00 46.9 50.0 0 93.8 75 125 10/18/2012 10.0 500 100.5 Zinc 506 3.2 75 125 10/18/2012 atch 82578 SampType: MSD Units µg/L RPD Limit 20 mpiD: 12100828-002DMSD Date Analyzed RPD Ref Val %RPD SPK Ref Val %REC Result Spike Analyses RLQual

0

3.2

46.5

499

50.0

500

93.0

99.1

46.9

505.9

0.86

1.39

10/18/2012

10/18/2012



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

Batch 82566	SampType:	MBLK		Units µg/L							
3amplD: MB-82566				, -							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	10/18/2012
Zinc			10.0		< 10.0	10.0	0	0	-100	100	10/18/2012
Batch 82566 SampID: LCS-82566	SampType:	LCS		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses	<u>.</u>		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		50.0	50.0	0	100.0	85	115	10/18/2012
Zinc			10.0		520	500	0	104.0	85	115	10/18/2012
Batch 82566 SampID: 12100828-0	SampType: 01CMS	MS	<del></del>	Units µg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		48.9	50.0	0	97.8	75	125	10/18/2012
Zìnc			10.0		555	500	51.6	100.6	75	125	10/18/2012
	SampType:	MSD		Units µg/L					RPD	Limit 20	
\$ampID: 12100828-0	01CMSD										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result		SPK Ref Val			/al %RPD	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		48.7	50.0	0	97.4	48.9	0.41	10/18/2012
Zinc			10.0		552	500	51.6	100.0	554.7	0.54	10/18/2012
STANDARD METHO			B, MET								
Batch 82570 SampID: MB-82570	SampType:	MBLK		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses			RL_	Qual			SPK Ref Val		Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead			2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	10/18/2012
Batch 82570 SampID: LCS-82570	SampType:	LCS		Units µg/L				<del></del>			Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead			2.00	-	13.4	15.0	0	89.0	85	115	10/18/2012
Batch 82570 S	SampType: 02CMS	MS		Units µg/L		<del></del>					Date
			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
						45.0	1.6001	96.9	70	130	10/18/2012
SamplD: 12100828-00			2.00		16.1	15.0	1.0001		. •	100	
SampiD: 12100828-00  Analyses  Lead  Batch 82570	SampType: D2CMSD	MSD		Units µg/L	16.1	15.0				Limit 20	Date
SampID: 12100828-00 Analyses Lead		MSD		Units µg/L Qual	16.1 Result		SPK Ref Val		RPD		



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12100828

Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003

STANDARD METH	IODS 3030 B	, 3113 I	B, META	ALS BY GFAA	(DISSOL	VED)					
Batch 82567 SampID: MB-82567	SampType:	MBLK		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead			2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	10/18/2012
Batch 82567	SampType:	LCS		Units µg/L						<del></del>	<del></del>
SampID: LCS-82567 Analyses	7		RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Date Analyzed
Lead	···		2.00		13.9	15.0	0	92.9	85	115	10/18/2012
Batch 82567 SampID: 12100828-	SampType: 002DMS	MS		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead			2.00		14.5		0	96.4	70	130	10/18/2012
Batch 82567	SampType:	MSD		Units µg/L			<del></del>		RPD	Limit 20	
SampID: 12100828-	002DMSD										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Lead			2.00		13.9	15.0	0	93.0	14.4578	3.61	10/18/2012



Custody seal(s) intact on shipping container/cooler.

# **Receiving Check List**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company	Wo	rk Or	der: 12100828		
Client Project: National MTS - 25/86-0003			Re	port D	Date: 02-Nov-12
Carrier: Rick Schmidt	Receiv	ved By: TWN	М		
Completed by: On: 17-Oct-12 Timothy W. Mathis	Revi Oi 17-Oi	ct-12	MILL Michael L. Austin		
Pages to follow: Chain of custody 1	Extra pages included	0		_	
Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present		Temp °C 1.0
Type of thermal preservation?	None	Ice 🗹	Blue Ice		Dry Ice
Chain of custody present?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			•
Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?	Yes 🗹	No 🗀			
Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Samples in proper container/bottle?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Sample containers intact?	Yes 🗹	No 🔲			
Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
All samples received within holding time?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Reported field parameters measured:	Field 🔲	Lab 🔲	NA	<b>V</b>	
Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	_		
When thermal preservation is required, samples are complian 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same		between			
Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA vials	$\checkmark$	
Water - TOX containers have zero headspace?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No TOX containers	<b>V</b>	
Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?	Yes 🗹	No 🗔			
NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA	<b>V</b>	
Any No responses m	nust be detailed below	v or on the C	coc.		

## e enrightsonme

## **Teklab Chain of Custody**

g. \_\_\_of\_\_

Workorder 12 1 20828

5445 Horseshoe Lake Road ~ Collinsville, IL 62234 ~ Phone; (618)344-1004 ~ Fax:(618)344-1005 Preserved in • Lab Field Are the samples chilled? (a) Yes (b) No with: (a) Ice (c) Blue ice Barr Engineering Co. Sampler SPM Cooler Temp 1001 Diamond Ridge, Suite 1100 MO 65109 Jefferson City Invoice to Mark Nations. Results to Allison Olds and Mark Nations, mnations@doerun.com. Comments Matrix is surface water. Metals: Cd, Pb, Zn National MTS - 25/86-0003 custody seal intact upon pickup aolds@barr.com Billing/PO Per contract with Doe Run Contact Allison Olds Phone 573-638-5007 Requested Due Date Standard eMail Settleable Solids Total Dissolved Solids T.S.S. Lab Use Sample ID Sample Date/Time Preservative Matrix Ħ 12100828  $\times$  $\times$  $\times$ XX $\times$ C1-41-01 |X|Nat-East 13:05 Unpres Aqueous -001 -002 Not-NW X X X Y X Y  $\mathbf{k}$ 10-16-12 15.40 Unpres Aqueous Unpres Aqueous Unpres Aqueous Unpres Aqueous Unpres Aqueous Unpres Aqueous

Relinquished By *	Date/Time	Received By	Date/Time
Steve Moilgran	10-16-12 16:00	R. Selyn. St	10/17/12 8:30
R. Schnidt	10/17/12 10:00	Tatal	10.17-12 jour

\* The individual signing this agreement on behalf of client acknowledges that they have read and understand the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority to sign on behalf of client.

Aqueous

Unpres